

Disadvantaged Community Involvement Program
Tulare-Kern Funding Area
Project Application Form

1. IRWM Region:

--
2. Funding Area:

Tulare-Kern Funding Area

3. Applicant Name:

--
4. Project Title:

--
5. Requested Grant Amount:

--
6. Point of Contact: (POC) Information (name, title, organization, phone, email):

--
7. Type of Funding Requested (Select One):
☐ IRWM Application Costs (for projects that are ready for Round One (2019) IRWM Implementation funding)
☐ Project Development Activities (feasibility study, preliminary design, CEQA, etc.) to prepare for Round Two (future) IRWM Implementation funding
8. Is the Applicant identified as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC) in the Preliminary Needs Assessment?
☐ Yes ☐ No *If not, provide justification for DAC status.*
9. Does the project address one or more of the following issues for a DAC?

Project Title	Benefits 100% to DAC?	Human Right to Water?	Innovative Technology?	Contribute to regional water self-reliance?	Address AB 1249 Contaminants(s)?

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Summary: Provide a brief description of the project, the need(s) it addresses, and the intended outcomes/benefits. The project may include a feasibility study, community outreach, preliminary design, environmental review, or other activities. The project may also include IRWM application costs.

--

2. Provide project map. Include location of project, project benefit and/or service area, and other applicable information.

3. Project Type: _____ Water Supply or Quality _____ Sewer or Wastewater

Other:

Select most applicable project type. If "Other" is selected, please write in the space provided the proposed project type.

4. If the project will affect groundwater, does the project have support of the local Groundwater Sustainability Agency? _____ Yes _____ No

Provide a letter of support from the GSA, if available, or other form of correspondence with the GSA regarding the proposed project.

B. SELECTED ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

1. Does the project directly respond to water management need(s) of DACs in the Funding Area, as identified in the Preliminary Needs Assessment? _____ Yes _____ No

a. What DAC need(s) does the project address? Identify and explain.

2. Does the project benefit a small (<10,000 population) DAC? _____ Yes _____ No

Community	Population	MHI (include source)

3. Does the project provide a benefit that meets at least one of the Statewide Priorities as defined in the 2016 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines?

☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, Please identify below.

D. OTHER PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Does the proposed project benefit multiple DACs? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a description of the impacts to the various DACs.

2. Does the project address a contaminant listed in AB 1249? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, provide a description of how the project helps address the contamination.

3. Does the project improve the provision of safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes, consistent with AB 685 (Human Right to Water)? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please describe.

E. ENVIRONMENTAL

1. Please fill out the Table below, if applicable:

Table 3 – CEQA Timeline		
CEQA STEP	COMPLETE? (Y/N)	ESTIMATED DATE TO COMPLETE
Initial Study		
Lead Agency (_____)		
Notice of Preparation		
Draft EIR/MND/ND		
Public Review		
Final EIR/MND/ND		
Adoption of Final EIR/MND/ND		
Notice of Determination		

- a. If additional explanation or justification of the timeline is needed, please describe below (optional).

F. CONSULTANT SELECTION

1. Does the Applicant have a District Engineer or other Engineering Consultant with history working on the design or evaluation of its facilities, which is preferred to perform the scope of work identified herein?

If yes, provide contact information (Name, Title, Organization, Phone, Email)

Note: The preferred consultant, if noted, will be contacted regarding this project. If the consultant and the County of Tulare are able to come to agreement, a contract between the County and consultant may be initiated. While applicant preferences will be taken into account, the County of Tulare does not commit to retaining the services of the preferred consultant.

2. If the Applicant does not have a preferred consultant, a consultant may be recommended by the respective IRWM, or work may be conducted by the Project Team. Any recommended consultants would require pre-approval from the County of Tulare, and would be required to enter into a contract with the County of Tulare.

BUTTONWILLOW COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

8"-10" WATERLINE & WATER WELL NO. 5

COUNTY OF KERN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

GENERAL NOTES

1. PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION WITHIN EXISTING PUBLIC ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAYS CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FROM THE KERN COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT.
2. THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UTILITIES AND UNDERGROUND PIPELINES ARE SHOWN IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION AND DEPTH OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND UNDERGROUND PIPELINES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK. HE AGREES TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE OCCASIONED BY HIS FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE, PRESERVE AND PROTECT ANY AND ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND PIPELINES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CALL U.S.A. UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT SHALL BE CONTACTED AT LEAST TWO(2) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. **8 - 1 - 1.**
3. LANDMARK SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR ANY CHANGES TO, OR USES OF, THESE PLANS. ALL CHANGES TO THESE PLANS MUST BE APPROVED IN WRITING BY LANDMARK SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING.
4. ALL EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS THAT ARE DAMAGED SHALL BE REPAIRED OR REPLACED.
5. IN THE EVENT CONSTRUCTION STAKING BASED ON LANDMARK'S PLANS, DRAWINGS OR OTHER DOCUMENTS IS ACCOMPLISHED BY OTHER THAN THE CONSULTANT, CLIENT AGREES TO HOLD LANDMARK SURVEYING & ENGINEERING HARMLESS AND RELEASE CONSULTANT FROM ALL LIABILITY ARISING FROM THE USE OF SAID PLANS, DRAWINGS OR OTHER DOCUMENTS.

BENCHMARK USED:

HPGN DESIGNATED "A 364" WITH **PUBLISHED** 2010 EPOCH DATE C.C.S. 83 ZONE 5, NGVD 88 VALUES OF:
N. 2,334,230.98
E. 6,146,213.72
Z. 294.2

BENCHMARK SET:

TOP OF CONCRETE WELL PAD OF EXISTING WATER WELL #2 (SEE SHEET 2 FOR EXACT LOCATION)
ELEVATION=271.00'

THE FOLLOWING KERN COUNTY DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PART OF THESE PLANS. (CONTRACTOR TO OBTAIN LATEST EDITION THEREOF)

STREET PLATES

STD. NO.	DESCRIPTION
W-1	FIRE HYDRANT DETAIL
W-2	VALVE INSTALLATION
W-3	TYPICAL THRUST BLOCK DETAILS
W-4	PVC PIPE TRENCH SECTION IN PAVED AREAS
R-55	BACKFILL REQUIREMENTS ON A/C STREETS


INDEX OF SHEETS

SHT. NO.	SHEET TITLE
1	TITLE SHEET/ GENERAL NOTES
2	PLAN AND PROFILE - STA. 00+00.00 TO 9+00
2A	PLAN AND PROFILE WITH AERIAL - STA. 00+00.00 TO 9+00
3	PLAN AND PROFILE - STA. 9+00 TO 17+50
3A	PLAN AND PROFILE WITH AERIAL - STA. 9+00 TO 17+50
4	PLAN AND PROFILE - STA. 17+50 TO 26+50
4A	PLAN AND PROFILE WITH AERIAL - STA. 17+50 TO 26+50
5	PLAN AND PROFILE - STA. 26+50 TO 33+90.82
5A	PLAN AND PROFILE WITH AERIAL - STA. 26+50 TO 33+87.46
6	TANK 2 CONNECTION DETAILS
7	IRRIGATION TANK CONNECTION DETAILS
8	WELL No. 5 SITE PLAN
9	WELL No. 5 DETAILS
10	MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS


CONNECT TO BCWD
STORAGE TANK #2

CONNECT TO BPRD
IRRIGATION SYSTEM

NEW WELL
NO. 5



KEY MAP
NOT TO SCALE



VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DR.	CK.	APP'D	BUTTONWILLOW COUNTY WATER DISTRICT					PROPOSED 8"-10" WATERLINE & WATER WELL NO. 5		
-	-	-	-	-	-	DATE 07/01/2015	DRAWN BY JPO	CHECKED BY JNW / EK	APPROVAL -	ENGINEER EK	PIPELINE ALIGNMENT - STA: 0+00.00 TO 33+87.46 KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA		
						SCALE NONE	CAD FILE -				PRELIMINARY 10/29/2015	SHT. 1 OF 10	REV.

