Disadvantaged Community Involvement Program

Tulare-Kern Funding Area

Project Application Form

| 1. | IRWM Region: | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 2. | Funding Area: | Tulare-Kern Fundir | ng Area | | | |
| 3. | Applicant Name: | | | | | |
| 4. | Project Title: | | | | | |
| 5. | Requested Grant A | Amount: | | | | |
| 6. | Point of Contact: (| POC) Information (n | ame, title, organiza | ation, phone, em | nail): | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7. | Type of Funding R | equested (Select On | e): | | | |
| | IRWM Applic | cation Costs (for proj | ects that are ready | for Round One | (2019) IRWM Imp | olementation funding |
| | | lopment Activities (f VM Implementation | | eliminary design | , CEQA, etc.) to pr | repare for Round Two |
| 8. | Is the Applicant id | entified as a Disadva | ntaged Communit | y (DAC) in the Pr | eliminary Needs A | Assessment? |
| | Yes No | If not, provid | le justification for L | DAC status. | | |
| 9. | Does the project a | address one or more | of the following is: | sues for a DAC? | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Pro | ject Title | Benefits 100% to DAC? | Human Right to Water? | Innovative Technology? | Contribute to regional water self-reliance? | Address AB 1249 Contaminants(s)? |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Α | . PROJECT INFOR | <u>MATION</u> | | | | |
| 1. | • | Provide a brief desc | | | • | |
| | | s. The project may ir riew, or other activition | • | • | • | |
| | | | | , | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

2. Provide project map. Include location of project, project benefit and/or service area, and other applicable information.

| . Project Type | : | Water S | Supply or Quality | Sewer or Wastewater | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------|
| | Other: | | | | |
| Select most of proposed pro | | oject type. If "O | ther" is selected, please | write in the space provided the | |
| . If the project Agency? | _ | roundwater, do s No | es the project have supp | ort of the local Groundwater Sustainabi | lity |
| Provide a let proposed pro | | t from the GSA, | , if available, or other for | m of correspondence with the GSA rega | arding th |
| B. <u>SELECTED E</u> | LIGIBILITY R | REQUIREMENT | <u>τς</u> | | |
| | | respond to wate ment?Yes | | of DACs in the Funding Area, as identific | ed in the |
| a. What DA | C need(s) do | es the project a | iddress? Identify and exp | lain. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| . Does the pr | oiect benefit | a small (<10.00 | 00 population) DAC? | Yes No | |
| Boes the pr | | a 3111ani (+20)00 | | | |
| Communi | ty | | Population | MHI (include source) | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3. Does the proj | ect provide a | benefit that m | eets at least one of the S | tatewide Priorities as defined in the 201 | 6 |
| RWM Grant Pro | • | | | | |
| Yes | No If Yes, | Please identify | below. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

C. WORK PLAN, BUDGET, and SCHEDULE

| 1. | Work Plan: Provide a brief Project Description, including summary of tasks for the project development activity that is being proposed. The scope must include coordination with the IRWM to get the project on the IRWM project list for future implementation funding. |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| 2. | Budget: Provide cost estimate by task identified in the Work Plan description. Cost share is not required. |

| (a) (b) (c) (d) | | | | (d) | |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Task | | Requested Grant Amount | Cost Share: Non- State Fund Source | Other Fund Source | Total Cost |
| (1) | | | | | |
| (2) | | | | | |
| (3) | | | | | |
| (4) | | | | | |
| (5) | | | | | |
| | Grand Total | | | | |

3. Schedule: Include reasonable estimates of the start and end dates for each task listed in Table 1 - Project Development Budget.

| | Table 2 – Project Development Schedule | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Task Start Date End Date | | | | |
| (1) | | | | | |
| (2) | | | | | |
| (3) | | | | | |
| (4) | | | | | |
| (5) | | | | | |

D. OTHER PROJECT INFORMATION

| 1. Does the proposed project benefit multiple DACs?YesNo | |
|---|--|
| If Yes, provide a description of the impacts to the various DACs. | |
| | |
| 2. Does the project address a contaminant listed in AB 1249?YesNo If yes, provide a description of how the project helps address the contamination. | |
| | |
| Does the project improve the provision of safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes, consistent with AB 685 (Human Right to Water)?YesNo If yes, please describe. | |
| | |

E. **ENVIRONMENTAL**

1. Please fill out the Table below, if applicable:

| Table 3 – CEQA Timeline | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| CEQA STEP | COMPLETE? (Y/N) | ESTIMATED DATE TO COMPLETE |
| Initial Study | | |
| Lead Agency () | | |
| Notice of Preparation | | |
| Draft EIR/MND/ND | | |
| Public Review | | |
| Final EIR/MND/ND | | |
| Adoption of Final EIR/MND/ND | | |
| Notice of Determination | | |

| a. | If additional explanation or justification of the timeline is needed, please describe below (optional). |
|--------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| F. | CONSULTANT SELECTION |
| | oes the Applicant have a District Engineer or other Engineering Consultant with history working on the design or valuation of its facilities, which is preferred to perform the scope of work identified herein? |
| | If yes, provide contact information (Name, Title, Organization, Phone, Email) |
| | |
| T a | lote: The preferred consultant, if noted, will be contacted regarding this project. If the consultant and the County of ulare are able to come to agreement, a contract between the County and consultant may be initiated. While pplicant preferences will be taken into account, the County of Tulare does not commit to retaining the services of the preferred consultant. |

2. If the Applicant does not have a preferred consultant, a consultant may be recommended by the respective IRWM, or work may be conducted by the Project Team. Any recommended consultants would require preapproval from the County of Tulare, and would be required to enter into a contract with the County of Tulare.

